JABO Feriormance indicators quarterly Report Supplement					
Subgrantee:			Grant Number:		
Project Title:			Date of Report:		
Grant Period:	Date Project Completed:	Final Report? Yes□ No □	Report Period Ending: 09/30		
Report Completed By:	Signature:	Title:	Contact number:		
Goal(s):					
Objective(s):					
Purpose Area:					
Goal(s):					
Objective(s):					
Purpose Area:					

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
juvenile	Number of <u>graduated</u> <u>sanctions</u> policies instituted	Number of graduated sanctions policies:	Number of graduated sanctions policies:
inctions for j	Number of <u>juvenile justice</u> <u>units</u> that are implementing <u>graduated</u> <u>sanctions programs</u>	Number of units implementing graduated sanctions programs:	Number of units implementing graduated sanctions programs:
g graduated sa	Number and percent of programs using graduated sanctions	a. Number of different graduated sanctions programs implemented:b. Total number of programs run by the grantee:c. Percent (a/b):	 a. Number of different graduated sanctions programs implemented: b. Total number of programs run by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b):
nd administerin	Number and percent of youth served to whom graduated sanctions were applied	 a. Number of youth admitted to graduated sanctions program: b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth admitted to <u>graduated sanctions</u> program: b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program: c. Percent (a/b):
ementing, ar	5. Number of <u>supervision</u> <u>meetings</u> per youth in <u>graduated sanctions</u> programs	a. Number of <u>supervision meetings</u> held:b. Number of youth serviced:c. Number of meetings per youth (a/b):	a. Number of <u>supervision meetings</u> held:b. Number of youth serviced:c. Number of meetings per youth (a/b):
1. Developing, implementing, and administering <u>graduated sanctions</u> for juvenile offenders.	6. Number and percent of youth who had a behavioral contract developed when they entered a program that was part of a graduated sanctions approach	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> developed when they entered the program: b. Number of youth to enter the program: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> developed when they entered the program: b. Number of youth to enter the program: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	7. Number of <u>sanctioning</u> <u>options</u> available at each level (immediate, intermediate, <u>secure care</u> , and aftercare/reentry)	 a. Number of different immediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: b. Number of different intermediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: c. Number of different <u>secure care sanctioning options</u>: d. Number of different aftercare/reentry <u>sanctioning options</u>: 	 a. Number of different immediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: b. Number of different intermediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: c. Number of different <u>secure care sanctioning options</u>: d. Number of different aftercare/reentry <u>sanctioning options</u>:
	Number and percent of staff trained on the use of graduated sanctions	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff who offer direct services:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff who offer direct services:c. Percent (a/b):
	Number and percent of sanctions that were successfully contested	a. Number of sanctions overturned:b. Number of sanctions applied:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of sanctions overturned:b. Number of sanctions applied:c. Percent (a/b):
	10. Number of hours of service received per youth	a. Number of hours of service to youth:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of hours of service to youth:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):
	11. Cost savings	 a. Total cost per case not using <u>graduated sanctions</u>: b. Total cost per <u>graduated sanctions</u> case: c. Cost savings (a-b): 	 a. Total cost per case not using <u>graduated sanctions</u>: b. Total cost per <u>graduated sanctions</u> case: c. Cost savings (a-b):
	12. Number and percent of cases that result in alternatives to detention	 a. Number of youth who without the program would have been assigned to <u>detention</u>: b. Number of youth assigned to <u>detention</u>: c. Percent (a/(a + b)): 	 a. Number of youth who without the program would have been assigned to <u>detention</u>: b. Number of youth assigned to <u>detention</u>: c. Percent (a/(a + b)):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	13. Number and percent of cases that result in: community service, monetary restitution, and	a. Number of cases to result in <u>community service</u>:b. Number of cases to result in <u>monetary restitution</u>:	a. Number of cases to result in <u>community service</u>:b. Number of cases to result in <u>monetary restitution</u>:
	direct service to victims	 c. Number of cases to result in direct service to victims: d. Number of cases handled by the grantee: e. Percent of cases resulted in <u>community service</u> 	 c. Number of cases to result in direct service to victims: d. Number of cases handled by the grantee: e. Percent of cases resulted in community service
		 (a/d): f. Percent of cases resulted in monetary restitution (b/d): g. Percent of cases resulted in direct service to victims (c/d): 	 (a/d): f. Percent of cases resulted in monetary restitution (b/d): g. Percent of cases resulted in direct service to victims (c/d):
	14. Number and percent of sanction changes that were from a less restrictive to a more restrictive sanction	a. Number of sanction-level changes to more restrictive:b. Number of sanction-level changes:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of sanction-level changes to more restrictive:b. Number of sanction-level changes:c. Percent (a/b):
	15. Time in hours from infractions to sanction	 a. Cumulative hours from <u>infractions</u> to sanctions: b. Number of <u>infractions</u>: c. Average (a/b): 	a. Cumulative time from <u>infractions</u> to sanction:b. Number of <u>infractions</u>:c. Average (a/b):
	16. Number and percent of youth who were monitored according to the terms in their behavioral contracts	a. Number of youth for whom staff followed the guidelines of the youth's <u>behavioral contract</u>:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth for whom staff followed the guidelines of the youth's <u>behavioral contract</u>:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):
	17. Number and percent of successful program completions	a. Number of youth to complete successfully:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to complete successfully:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	18. Number of non-compliant events (e.g., missing appointments) and percent of all events that were non-compliant	a. Number of non-compliance events:b. Number of youth requirements:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of non-compliance events:b. Number of youth requirements:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area		Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1		At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	1.	Number and percent of new secure <u>detention</u> beds	a. Number of secure residential beds:b. Number of secure <u>detention</u> beds:	a. b.	Number of secure residential beds: Percent change ((this column – previous column)/previous column):
uvenile	2.	Number and percent of new non-secure <u>detention</u> beds	Number of non-secure residential slots:	a. b.	Number of non-secure residential slots: Percent change ((this column – previous column)/previous column):
manent j	3.	Number and percent of square feet of improved space	Total facility square footage:	a. b.	Total facility square footage: Percent change ((this column – previous column)/previous column):
ary or per S.	4.	Number of square feet of operational client space	Total operational client square footage:	a. b.	Total operational client square footage: Percent change ((this column – previous column)/previous column):
y tempora s facilities	5.	Number and percent of new client service slots (non-residential)	Number client service slots:	a. b.	Number client service slots: Percent change ((this column – previous column)/previous column):
or operating temporary or permanent juvenile y corrections facilities.	6.	Number and percent of staff salaries paid	 a. Number of staff positions or FTE paid with JABG funds: b. Number of staff positions (or FTE): c. Percent (a/b): 	a. b. a.	
ommunit	7.	Amount spent on program supplies and percent of total supply budget	a. Dollar amount spent on program supplies:b. Total amount spent on supplies:c. Percent (a/b):	a. b. c.	Dollar amount spent on program supplies: Total amount spent on supplies: Percent (a/b):
2. Building, expanding, renovating, or operating temporar correction, <u>detention,</u> or community corrections facilities	8.	Amount spent on other operating costs and percent of total other operating costs	a. Dollar amount spent on other operating costs:b. Total amount spent on other operating costs:c. Percent (a/b):	a. b.	Dollar amount spent on other operating costs: Total amount spent on other operating costs: Percent (a/b):
g, eg		A	, ,		` ′
2. Building, correction,	9.	Amount and percent of staff time spent on <u>security</u> per week	a. Number hours spent on <u>security</u> per week:b. Number of hours worked per week:c. Percent (a/b):	a. b. c.	Number hours spent on <u>security</u> per week: Number of hours worked per week: Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	 10. Amount and percent of staff time spent on behavioral management 11. Amount and percent of staff time spent on individual counseling 12. Number of square feet per youth 	 a. Number hours spent on <u>behavioral management</u> per week: b. Number of hours worked per week: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number hours spent on individual counseling per week: b. Number of hours worked per week: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number square feet operational client space: 	 a. Number hours spent on <u>behavioral management</u> per week: b. Number of hours worked per week: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number hours spent on individual counseling per week: b. Number of hours worked per week: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number square feet operational client space:
	13. Percent of capacity	 b. Number of clients: c. Percent (a/b): a. Average number of youth at facility: b. Average number of youth facility is licensed for: c. Percent (a/b): 	 b. Number of clients: c. Percent (a/b): a. Average number of youth at facility: b. Average number of youth facility is licensed for: c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Number and percent of youth fulfilling their court-determined length of stay	a. Number of clients to leave at court-determined time:b. Number of clients to leave the facility:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of clients to leave at court-determined time:a. Number of clients to leave the facility:b. Percent (a/b):
	15. Number and percent of days operated at full capacity	a. Number days operated at full capacity:b. Number of days elapsed:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number days operated at full capacity:b. Number of days elapsed:c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Percent of space that is used as intended	a. Number square feet used as intended:b. Number of square feet:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number square feet used as intended:b. Number of square feet:c. Percent (a/b):
	 17. Number of safety violations 18. Number of <u>disciplinary</u> <u>actions</u> against youth 19. Number of <u>disciplinary</u> 	Number of safety violations reported: Number of <u>disciplinary actions</u> against youth: Number of <u>disciplinary actions</u> against staff:	Number of safety violations reported: Number of <u>disciplinary actions</u> against youth: Number of <u>disciplinary actions</u> against staff:
	actions against staff 20. Number of physical injuries to youth	Number of physical injuries to youth:	Number of physical injuries to youth:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	21. Number of physical injuries to staff	Number physical injuries to staff:	Number physical injuries to staff:
	22. Number and percent of youth held in secure detention23. Number of hours youth were	 a. Number youth held in secure <u>detention</u>: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/b) Number hours youth were held in secure <u>detention</u>: 	 a. Number youth held in secure <u>detention</u>: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/b): Number hours youth were held in secure <u>detention</u>:
	held in secure detention 24. Number and percent of youth places elsewhere because of lack of space	a. Number of youth diverted:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/(a + b)):	a. Number of youth diverted: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/(a + b)):

Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period ☐Mar 31 ☐Sep 30 ☐Jun 30 ☐Dec 31
Amount of funds spent on pretrial services Number and percent of each of the following types of staff hired: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff	 Number of dollars spent on <u>pretrial services</u>: a. Number of judges hired: b. Total number of judges: c. Percent (a/b): d. Number of <u>probation officers</u> hired: e. Total number of <u>probation officers</u>: f. Percent (d/e): g. Number of defenders hired: h. Total number of defenders: 	 Number of dollars spent on <u>pretrial services</u>: a. Number of judges hired: b. Total number of judges: c. Percent (a/b): d. Number of <u>probation officers</u> hired: e. Total number of <u>probation officers</u>: f. Percent (d/e): g. Number of defenders hired: h. Total number of defenders:
	 i. Percent (g/h) j. Number of <u>special advocates</u> hired: k. Total number of <u>special advocates</u>: l. Percent (j/k): m. Number of <u>pretrial service</u> staff hired: n. Total number of pretrial staff: o. Percent (m/n): a. Number of cases: 	 i. Percent (g/h) j. Number of special advocates hired: k. Total number of special advocates: l. Percent (j/k): m. Number of pretrial service staff hired: n. Total number of pretrial staff: o. Percent (m/n): a. Number of cases:
4. Number and percent of vacant positions for each of the following staff types: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff	 b. Number of court staff: c. Number of cases per staff (a/b): a. Number of vacant positions: b. Total number of positions: c. Percent (a/b): 	 b. Number of court staff: c. c. Number of cases per staff (a/b): a. Number of vacant positions: b. Total number of positions: c. Percent (a/b):
5. Number of different pretrial service types	Number of different types of <u>pretrial services</u> : Number of pretrial service slots:	Number of different types of <u>pretrial services</u> : Number of <u>pretrial service</u> slots:
	spent on pretrial services 2. Number and percent of each of the following types of staff hired: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff 3. Number of cases per staff member 4. Number and percent of vacant positions for each of the following staff types: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff 5. Number of different pretrial service types	1. Amount of funds spent on pretrial services: 2. Number and percent of each of the following types of staff hired: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff An in

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	7. Number of hours of training about <u>pretrial</u> <u>services</u> offered to staff	Number of hours of training offered:	Number of hours of training offered:
	 Number and percent of staff trained in <u>pretrial services</u> (including <u>screening</u>) 	a. Number of staff trained in <u>pretrial services</u>:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained in <u>pretrial services</u>:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
	9. Number of youth to receive <u>pretrial</u> <u>services</u>	a. Number of youth receiving <u>pretrial service</u>:b. Number of youth that meet pretrial criteria:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth receiving <u>pretrial service</u>:b. Number of youth that meet pretrial criteria:c. Percent (a/b):
	10. Number of <u>pretrial</u> <u>services</u> received per youth	a. Number of individual services delivered:b. Number of youth served:c. Number of services per youth (a/b):	a. Number of individual services delivered:b. Number of youth served:c. Number of services per youth (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	11. Number of hours per week and percent of staff time spent directly serving clients	 a. Average number of hours judges spend in direct service per week: b. Average number of hours judges work per week: c. Percent (a/b): d. Average number of hours probation officers spend in direct service per week: e. Average number of hours probation officers work per week: f. Percent (d/e): g. Average number of hours defenders spend in direct service per week: h. Average number of hours defenders work per week: i. Percent (g/h): j. Average number of hours special advocates spend in direct service per week: k. Average number of hours special advocates work per week: l. Percent (j/k): m. Average number of hours pretrial service staff spend in direct service per week: n. Average number of hours pretrial service staff work per week: o. Percent (m/n): 	 a. Average number of hours judges spend in direct service per week: b. Average number of hours judges work per week: c. Percent (a/b): d. Average number of hours probation officers spend in direct service per week: e. Average number of hours probation officers work per week: f. Percent (d/e): g. Average number of hours defenders spend in direct service per week: h. Average number of hours defenders work per week: i. Percent (g/h): j. Average number of hours special advocates spend in direct service per week: k. Average number of hours special advocates work per week: l. Percent (j/k): m. Average number of hours pretrial service staff spend in direct service per week: n. Average number of hours pretrial service staff work per week: o. Percent (m/n):
	 12. Number and percent of youth <u>screened</u> 13. Number and percent of youth <u>assessed</u> 14. Average time in hours from first contact to 	 a. Number of youth screened: b. Number of youth in program: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth assessed: b. Number of youth in program: c. Percent (a/b): Average number of hours from determination of screening need to end of screening: 	 a. Number of youth screened: b. Number of youth in program: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth assessed: b. Number of youth in program: c. Percent (a/b): Average number of hours from determination of screening need to end of screening:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	15. Time in hours from screening to assessment	Average number of hours from end of screening to end of assessment:	Average number of hours from end of screening to end of assessment:
	16. Number and percent of youth to receive mental health services	 a. Number of youth to receive mental health services: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of youth to receive mental health services:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):
	17. Average time in days from case assignment to first meeting between staff member and youth or family	Average number of days from assignment to first meeting with staff:	Average number of days from assignment to first meeting with staff:
	18. Number and percent of complete case files	a. Number of complete files:b. Number of open cases:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of complete files:b. Number of open cases:c. Percent (a/b):
	19. Average time in days from referral to pretrial services to completion of pretrial processing	Average days from referral to the completion of pretrial processing:	Average days from referral to the completion of pretrial processing:
	20. Number and percent of pretrial appointments missed by youth or families	a. Number of pretrial appointments missed:b. Number of pretrial appointments scheduled:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of pretrial appointments missed:b. Number of pretrial appointments scheduled:c. Percent (a/b):
	21. Number and percent of youth to go through the system as intended (no service gaps, in the intended order, etc)	a. Number of clients that flow through program as intended:b. Number of clients:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of clients that flow through program as intended:b. Number of clients:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
4. Hiring additional prosecutors so that more cases involving violent juvenile offenders can be prosecuted and case backlog reduced.	Number and percent of new prosecutors hired Number and percent of vacant prosecutor positions Number of cases involving violent offenders per prosecutor	 a. Number of prosecutors (or FTE) hired: b. Number of prosecutors (or FTE): c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of vacant prosecutor positions: b. Number of total prosecutor positions: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of cases involving violent offenders: b. Number of prosecutors that handled cases involving violent offenders: c. Number of cases per prosecutor (a/b): 	 a. Number of prosecutors (or FTE) hired: b. Number of prosecutors (or FTE): c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of vacant prosecutor positions: b. Number of total prosecutor positions: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of cases involving violent offenders: b. Number of prosecutors that handled cases involving violent offenders: c. Number of cases per prosecutor (a/b):
	Number and percent of specialized prosecutors Length of employment in months per prosecutor	a. Number of specialized prosecutors: b. Number of prosecutors: c. Percent (a/b): a. Cumulative number of months of employment: b. Number of prosecutors: c. Average length of employment (a/b):	 a. Number of specialized prosecutors: b. Number of prosecutors: c. Percent (a/b): a. Cumulative number of months of employment: b. Number of prosecutors: c. Average length of employment (a/b):
	6. Number and percent of court units restructured7. Number of staff per manager	 a. Number of restructured court units: b. Number of court units: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of prosecutors: b. Number of managers: c. Number of prosecutors per manager (a/b): 	 a. Number of court restructured units: b. Number of court units: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of prosecutors: b. Number of managers: c. Number of prosecutors per manager (a/b):
4. Hiring &	Average number of days from <u>arrest</u> to first court date	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to first court appearance for the <u>arresting</u> crime:	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to first court appearance for the <u>arresting</u> crime:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Use I start of the reporting period Apr 1 Series I start of the reporting period Apr 1 Series I start of the reporting period Apr 1 Series I start of the reporting period	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	9. Number of days from arrest to case disposition	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to <u>case disposition</u> :	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to <u>case disposition</u> :
	10. Number and percent of days per youth spent in detention between arrest and case disposition	 a. Cumulative number of days in <u>detention</u>: b. Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to disposition combined for all youth: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Cumulative number of days in <u>detention</u>: b. Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to disposition combined for all youth: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Per	rformance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
ang, and equipment, j the	to pro cas	nount of funds allocated programs that help osecutors address ses involving drugs, angs, or youth violence	Number of dollars spent to support prosecutors dealing drug, gang, and violence cases:	Number of dollars spent to support prosecutors dealing drug, gang, and violence cases:
address drug, gang, for technology, equi ig and expediting the	eq of	mount of funds spent on uipment for prosecution cases involving drugs, ings, or youth violence	Number of dollars spent on equipment:	Number of dollars spent on equipment:
tors to addrely and for to entifying an s.	3. Nu pro top	umber and percent of osecutors trained in pics related to drugs, angs, or youth violence	a. Number of prosecutors trained:b. Number of prosecutors:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of prosecutors trained:b. Number of prosecutors:c. Percent (a/b):
5. Providing funding to enable prosecutors to address drug, gang, and youth violence problems more effectively and for technology, equipment, and training to assist prosecutors in identifying and expediting the prosecution of violent juvenile offenders.	4. Nu tra pro rel	umber of hours of hining offered to osecutors in topics lated to drugs, gangs, or uth violence	d. Number of hours of training offered:	d. Number of hours of training offered:
g funding to ence problems to assist proplems of violent ju	me pro	umber of hours of entoring that new osecutors receive in eir first 6 months	 a. Cumulative number of hours of mentoring: b. Number of prosecutors: c. Hours of mentoring per prosecutor (a/b): 	d. Cumulative number of hours of mentoring: e. Number of prosecutors: Hours of mentoring per prosecutor (a/b):
5. Providing fur youth violence and training to prosecution of	pro ca:	umber and percent of osecutors that handle ses involving juvenile fenders exclusively	a. Number of juvenile-only prosecutors:b. Number of prosecutors:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of juvenile-only prosecutors:b. Number of prosecutors:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	7. Number and percent of cases disposed involving drugs, gangs, or youth violence	a. Number of cases disposed of:b. Number of cases:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of cases disposed of:b. Number of cases:c. Percent (a/b):
	8. Number and percent of violent offenders cases prosecuted on a "fast track"	a. Number of cases fast-tracked:b. Number of cases:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of cases fast-tracked:b. Number of cases:c. Percent (a/b):
	9. Number of options available for handling cases involving drugs, gangs, or youth violence	Number of options available:	Number of options available:
	10. Time in hours spent per month by prosecution staff coordinating between other court units	Number of hours per month spent on coordination:	Number of hours per month spent on coordination:
	11. Number and percent of cases involving drugs, gangs, or youth violence to be prosecuted through a community prosecution program	Number of cases handled through <u>community</u> <u>prosecution</u> : Number of cases: Percent (a/b):	Number of cases handled through <u>community</u> <u>prosecution</u> : Number of cases: Percent (a/b):
	12. Average time in days from a case being assigned to the prosecution unit to the disposition for cases involving drugs, gangs, or youth violence	Average number of days from assignment to closing a case:	Average number of days from assignment to closing a case:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Use an analysis of the reporting period Apr 1 Use analysis of the reporting period	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	13. Ratio of senior staff to junior staff	a. Number of senior staff:b. Number of junior staff:c. Number of senior to junior staff (a/b):	a. Number of senior staff:b. Number of junior staff:c. Number of senior to junior staff (a/b):
	14. Hours and percent of prosecutor hours per month spent on cases involving first time offenders	 a. Number of hours per month on <u>first time</u> <u>offenders</u>: b. Number of hours per month working: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of hours per month on <u>first time offenders</u>:b. Number of hours per month working:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
<u>court</u>	Number and percent of law enforcement staff trained in preventing or controlling juvenile crime	a. Number of law enforcement staff trained:b. Number of law enforcement staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of law enforcement staff trained: b. Number of law enforcement staff: c. Percent (a/b):
ment and other e.	Number and percent of <u>court personnel</u> trained in preventing or controlling juvenile crime	 a. Number of <u>court personnel</u> trained: b. Number of <u>court personnel</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of <u>court personnel</u> trained:b. Number of <u>court personnel</u>:c. Percent (a/b):
enforcei nile crim	Number of hours of training offered to law enforcement staff	Number of hours of training offered to law enforcement:	Number of hours of training offered to law enforcement:
ms for law olling juve	Number of hours of training offered to <u>court</u> <u>personnel</u>	Number of hours of training offered to <u>court</u> <u>personnel</u> :	Number of hours of training offered to <u>court</u> <u>personnel</u> :
ng progra	5. Number and percent of staff to rate the training received as helpful	a. Number of staff to rate training helpful:b. Number of staff trained:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff to rate training helpful:b. Number of staff trained:c. Percent (a/b):
and maintaining training programs for law enforcement and other <u>court</u> respect to preventing and controlling juvenile crime.	6. Number and percent of staff trained who take additional courses on prevention and control of juvenile crime	a. Number of staff to take more training:b. Number of staff trained initially:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff to take more training:b. Number of staff trained initially:c. Percent (a/b):
Б. ч	7. Number and percent of sick days taken by law enforcement staff or court personnel	a. Number of sick days taken:b. Number of work days possible:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of sick days taken:b. Number of work days possible:c. Percent (a/b):
6. Establishin personnel with	8. Number and percent days law enforcement staff or court personnel are late to work	a. Number of days staff were late to work:b. Number of work days possible:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of days staff were late to work:b. Number of work days possible:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	Number and percent of law enforcement staff or court personnel rated as improved by supervisors	a. Number of staff improved:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff improved:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
	10. Number and percent of law enforcement staff or court personnel to leave the office/unit	a. Number of staff to leave the program:b. Total number of staff positions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff to leave the program: b. Total number of staff: c. Percent (a/b):
	11. Number of staff/youth conflicts	Number of staff/youth conflicts:	Number of staff/youth conflicts:
	12. Number of staff reprimands	Number of staff reprimands:	Number of staff reprimands:
	13. Number and percent of complaints about staff filed by youth	Number of complaints about staff filed by youth:	Number of complaints about staff filed by youth:
	14. Number and percent of policies based on a public health approach to crime control and	a. Number of policies that incorporate public health approaches:b. Number of policies filed:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of policies that incorporate public health approaches:b. Number of policies filed:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
ile firearms	Number and percent of staff trained on gun court procedures Number of hours of training on gun court procedures offered	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):Number of hours of training offered:	a. Number of staff trained: b. Number of staff: c. Percent (a/b): Number of hours of training offered:
juvenile <u>gun courts</u> for the prosecution and adjudication of juvenile firearms	3. Number and percent of arrests for gun offenses in which a juvenile offender is assessed for participation in the gun court	 a. Number of <u>gun court assessments</u>: b. Number of <u>gun-related arrests</u> of juveniles: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of <u>gun court</u> assessments:b. Number of <u>gun-related arrests</u> of juveniles:c. Percent (a/b):
ecution and ac	Number and percent of families of youth charged with gun offenses who are assessed	a. Number of families <u>assessed</u>:b. Number youth enrolled in the gun courts:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of families <u>assessed</u>:b. Number of youth enrolled in the gun courts:c. Percent (a/b):
or the pro	5. Number of agencies involved in the gun court	Number of agencies involved in the gun court:	Number of agencies involved in the gun court:
ourts fo	6. Number of gun court slots	Number of gun court slots:	Number of gun court slots:
nile gun c	7. Time in days from <u>arrest</u> to enrollment in the <u>gun</u> court	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to enrollment:	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to enrollment:
ning juver	Number of treatment (clinical) slots available to the gun court	Number of clinical treatment slots:	Number of clinical treatment slots:
7. Establishing offenders.	Number of types of treatment (clinical) offered through the gun court	Number of types of clinical treatment available:	Number of types of clinical treatment available:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	10. Number of service (non- clinical) slots available to the gun court	Number of non-clinical service slots:	Number of non-clinical service slots:
	11. Number of types of service offered through the gun court	Number of types of non-clinical service:	Number of types of non-clinical service:
	12. Number and percent of eligible youth to enter the gun court	a. Number of youth enrolled:b. Number of youth eligible:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth enrolled:b. Number of youth eligible:c. c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Number of judicial contacts per youth per month	a. Number of judicial contacts with youth:b. Number of youth enrolled in the gun court:c. Number of contacts per youth (a/b):	a. Number of judicial contacts with youth:b. Number of youth enrolled in the gun court:c. Number of contacts per youth (a/b):
	14. Number of hours of treatment received per youth participating in the gun court	a. Average number of hours of clinical treatment received:b. Number of youth enrolled in the gun court:c. Number of clinical hours per youth (a/b):	a. Average number of hours of clinical treatment received:b. Number of youth enrolled in the gun court:c. Number of clinical hours per youth (a/b):
	15. Service intensity	 a. Average number of days of service per youth while enrolled: b. Average number of days youth are enrolled: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Average number of days of service per youth while enrolled: b. Average number of days youth are enrolled: c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Number and percent of families of participants to actually participate in at least one recommended service (not including court appearances)	 a. Number of families to participate: b. Number of families with a youth enrolled: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of families to participate: b. Number of families with a youth enrolled: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	17. Average number of different services and average treatments received by youth gun court participants	Average number of types of service received per client:	Average number of types of service received per client:
	18. Number of days of youth enrollment in the gun court	Average number of days of court enrollment per youth:	Average number of days of court enrollment per youth:
	19. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete treatment/services referred to as part of the gun court	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/service requirements:b. Number of youth enrolled in treatment/service:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/service requirements:b. Number of youth enrolled in treatment/service:c. Percent (a/b):
	20. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete their gun court requirements	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their gun court requirements: b. Number of youth to exit the gun court: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their gun court requirements: b. Number of youth to exit the gun court: c. Percent (a/b):
	21. Cost savings per case	a. Average cost per <u>gun court</u> case: b. Average cost per equivalent non- <u>gun court</u> case: c. Cost savings (b-a):	a. Average cost per <u>gun court</u> case: b. Average cost per equivalent non- <u>gun court</u> case: c. Cost savings (b-a):
	22. Number and percent of court appearances missed by gun court participants	a. Number of missed court appearances:b. Number of appointments:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of missed court appearances:b. Number of appointments:c. Percent (a/b):
	23. Number and percent of gun court participants for whom a bench warrant is issued	a. Number of participants issued a <u>bench warrant</u>:b. Number of participants:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of participants issued a <u>bench warrant</u>:b. Number of participants:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
udicial ne integrated	Number and percent of staff trained on <u>drug</u> <u>court</u> procedures Number of hours of training on <u>drug</u> court	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):Number of hours of training offered:	a. Number of staff trained: b. Number of staff: c. Percent (a/b): Number of hours of training offered:
8. Establishing <u>drug courts</u> for juvenile offenders that provide continuing judicial supervision over juvenile offenders with substance abuse problems and the integrated administration of other sanctions and services for such offenders.	procedures offered 3. Number and percent of youth charged with drug offenses who are assessed for participation in the drug court	 a. Number of <u>drug court assessments</u>: b. Number of drug-related <u>arrests</u> of juveniles: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of <u>drug court assessments</u> : b. Number of drug-related <u>arrests</u> of juveniles: c. Percent (a/b):
	4. Number and percent of families of youth charged with drug offenses who are assessed for participation in the drug court	 a. Number of families <u>assessed</u>: b. Number of youth enrolled in the <u>drug court</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of families <u>assessed</u>: b. Number of youth enrolled in the <u>drug court</u>: c. Percent (a/b):
ourts for juv nile offender r sanctions	 5. Number of agencies involved in the drug court 6. Number of drug court 	Number of agencies enrolled in the <u>drug court</u> : Number of <u>drug court</u> slots:	Number of agencies enrolled in the <u>drug court</u> : Number of <u>drug court</u> slots:
ing <u>drug c</u> 1 over juvel ion of othe	7. Time in days from <u>arrest</u> to enrolment in the <u>drug</u>	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to enrollment in the <u>drug</u> court:	Number of days from <u>arrest</u> to enrollment in the <u>drug</u> court:
8. Establish supervision administrat	8. Number of clinical treatment slots available to the drug court	Number of clinical treatment slots:	Number of clinical treatment slots:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	9. Number of types of treatment (clinical) offered through the drug court	Number types of clinical treatment available:	Number types of clinical treatment available:
	10. Number of service (non- clinical) slots offered through the <u>drug court</u>	Number of non-clinical service slots:	Number of non-clinical service slots:
	11. Number of types of non- clinical service offered through the <u>drug court</u>	Number of types of non-clinical service:	Number of types of non-clinical service:
	12. Frequency of drug testing	 a. Number of days drug tests were given: b. Number of <u>drug court</u> participants: c. Average number of days of <u>drug court</u> participation per youth: d. Frequency ((a/b)/c): 	 a. Number of days drug tests were given: b. Number of <u>drug court</u> participants: c. Average number of days of <u>drug court</u> participation per youth: d. Frequency ((a/b)/c):
	13. Number and percent of eligible youth to enter the drug court	a. Number of youth enrolled: b. Number of youth eligible: c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth enrolled: b. Number of youth eligible: c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Number of judicial contacts per youth participating in the drug court	 a. Number of judicial contacts with youth: b. Number of youth enrolled in the drug court: c. Number of contacts per youth (a/b): 	a. Number of judicial contacts with youth: b. Number of youth enrolled in the drug court: c. Number of contacts per youth (a/b):
	15. Number of hours of treatment received per youth participating in the drug court	a. Number of hours of clinical treatment received:b. Number of youth enrolled in the drug court:c. Number of clinical hours per youth (a/b):	a. Number of judicial contacts with youth:b. Number of youth enrolled in the drug court:c. Number of clinical hours per youth (a/b):
	Number and percent of youth to test positive for drug use	 a. Number of youth to test positive: b. Number of youth enrolled: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of youth to test positive:b. Number of youth enrolled:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	17. Service intensity	a. Average number of days of service per youth while enrolled:b. Average number of days youth are enrolled:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Average number of days of service per youth while enrolled:b. Average number of days youth are enrolled:c. Percent (a/b):
	18. Number of families of participants to actually participate in at least one recommended service (not including court appearances)	 a. Number of families to participate: b. Number of families with a youth enrolled: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of families to participate: b. Number of families with a youth enrolled: c. Percent (a/b):
	19. Average number of different services received by youth drug court participants	Average number of types of service received per client:	Average number of types of service received per client:
	20. Number of days of youth enrollment in the drug court	Average number of days of court enrollment per youth:	Average number of days of court enrollment per youth:
	21. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete treatment/services referred to as part of the drug court	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/service requirements:b. Number of youth enrolled in treatment/service:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/service requirements:b. Number of youth enrolled in treatment/service:c. Percent (a/b):
	Number and percent of youth to successfully complete their drug court requirements Cost savings per youth	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their gun court requirements: b. Number of youth enrolled the gun court: c. Percent (a/b): a. Average cost per gun court case: 	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their gun court requirements: b. Number of youth enrolled the gun court: c. Percent (a/b): a. Average cost per gun court case:
	25. Soot Sattings por Jouin	b. Average cost per equivalent non-gun court case:c. Cost savings (b-a):	b. Average cost per equivalent non-gun court case: c. Cost savings (b-a):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	24. Number and percent of court appearances missed by drug court participants	a. Number of missed court appearances:b. Number of court appearances:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of missed court appearances:b. Number of court appearances:c. Percent (a/b):
	25. Number and percent of drug court participants	a. Number of participants issued a <u>bench warrant</u> :	a. Number of participants issued a <u>bench warrant</u> :
	for whom a <u>bench</u> <u>warrant</u> is issued	b. Number of participants:c. Percent (a/b):	b. Number of participants:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
mote public	Number and percent of units of local government (ULG) that have automated data systems	 a. Number of <u>ULG</u> with automation: b. Number of <u>ULG</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of <u>ULG</u> with automation:b. Number of <u>ULG</u>:c. Percent (a/b):
9. Establishing and maintaining a system of juvenile records designed to promote public safety.	Number and percent of cases that are in the automated systems	a. Number of cases with automated information:b. Number of cases total:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of cases with automated information:b. Number of cases total:c. Percent (a/b):
cords de	Number and percent of data elements that are automated	a. Number of variables in system:b. Number of variables total:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of variables in system:b. Number of variables total:c. Percent (a/b):
venile re	4. Number and percent of staff trained to use the automated system	a. Number of staff strained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff strained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
stem of ju	5. Number of hours of training provided about the <u>automated system</u>	Number of hours of training offered:	Number of hours of training offered:
aining a sy	6. Number and percent of case files that are completely automated	a. Number of completely automated cases:b. Number of cases total:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of completely automated cases:b. Number of cases total:c. Percent (a/b):
nd mainta	7. Number and percent of staff with access to the automated system	a. Number of staff with access:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff with access:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
olishing aı	8. Number and percent of programs about which the data are complete	a. Number of programs that are automated:b. Number of programs:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of programs that are automated:b. Number of programs:c. Percent (a/b):
9. Estab safety.	Number of complaints about data accuracy (including timeliness)	Number of complaints:	Number of complaints:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	10. Time in hours from contact to information being entered into the system	Average number of hours from data collection to complete automation:	Average number of hours from data collection to complete automation:
	11. Time required for client administration	a. Number of hours staff spend on administration:b. Number of hours staff work:c. Percent of hours on administration (a/b):	a. Number of hours staff spend on administration:b. Number of hours staff work:c. Percent of hours on administration (a/b):
	12. Percent of redundant assessments/intakes performed	a. Number of repeat <u>assessments</u>:b. Number of total <u>assessments</u>:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of repeat <u>assessments</u>:b. Number of total <u>assessments</u>:c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Number and percent of requests for missing information about a youth or case	a. Number of repeat information requests:b. Number of information requests:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of repeat information requests:b. Number of information requests:c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Number and percent of data/information requests that must be submitted more than once	a. Number of repeat data submissions:b. Number of data submissions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of repeat data submissions:b. Number of data submissions:c. Percent (a/b):
	15. Number and percent of units with agreements to use common intake/assessment forms	 a. Number of entities that have <u>assessments</u> to share: b. Number of entities that use <u>assessments</u> data: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of entities that have <u>assessments</u> to share: b. Number of entities that use <u>assessments</u> data: c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Number of data queries	Number of times data are accessed:	Number of times data are accessed:
	17. Number of different standard reports that are programmed into the system	Number of standard reports possible:	Number of standard reports possible:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	Number of <u>partner</u> agencies	Number of partner agencies:	Number of partner agencies:
s that enable the ss to make more and treatment of	Number of data elements shared among partner agencies	Number of shared data elements:	Number of shared data elements:
ring programs th vices agencies to <u>supervision,</u> and al acts.	Number and percent of youth about whom there is a complete case file	a. Number of complete case files:b. Number of case files:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of complete case files:b. Number of case files:c. Percent (a/b):
ion-sharing I cial services control, <u>supe</u> r criminal ac	Number and percent of staff trained in information sharing	a. Number of staff trained on information sharing:b. Number of staff total:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained on information sharing:b. Number of staff total:c. Percent (a/b):
10. Establishing and maintaining interagency information-sharing programs that enable the juvenile and criminal justice systems, schools, and social services agencies to make more informed decisions regarding the early identification, control, <u>supervision,</u> and treatment of juveniles who repeatedly commit serious delinquent or criminal acts.	5. Number of hours of training provided about the information sharing	Number of hours of training offered:	Number of hours of training offered:
	Percent of staff time required to access client data from outside agencies	 a. Number of hours staff spent on gathering data per month: b. Number of hours staff worked per month: c. Percent of time spent on gathering data: 	 a. Number of hours staff spent on gathering data per month: b. Number of hours staff worked per month: c. Percent of time spent on gathering data:
taining ce sys ding th	7. Number of interagency information requests	Number of interagency information requests:	Number of interagency information requests:
and main ninal justi ons regarc peatedly (8. Time in hours from information request to information receipt	Number of hours from request receipt to request fulfilled:	Number of hours from request receipt to request fulfilled:
10. Establishing a juvenile and criminformed decisio juveniles who rep	9. Number and percent of youth that are referred for similar services through different agencies or staff (i.e., redundant referrals)	a. Number of redundantly referred youth:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of redundantly referred youth:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	10. Number and percent of youth on waiting lists for treatment or service11. Number and percent of days youth spend on waiting lists for treatment or service12. Number and percent of	 a. Number of youth put on a waiting list: b. Number of youth: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of days clients are on waiting lists: b. Number of days clients served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth that did not receive treatment: 	 a. Number of youth put on a waiting list: b. Number of youth: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of days clients are on waiting lists: b. Number of days clients served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth that did not receive treatment:
	youth who cannot receive identified services (e.g., slots full, service not provided locally)	b. Number of youth served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b):	b. Number of youth served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Time to service (days)	Average number of days from <u>assessment</u> to first service:	Average number of days from <u>assessment</u> to first service:
	14. Number and percent of youth about whom information is shared across agencies15. Number and percent of youth to enter services or treatment to which they are referred	 a. Number of clients about whom data is shared across agencies: b. Number of clients served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth to enter treatment or services referred to: b. Number of youth referred to treatment or service: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of clients about whom data is shared across agencies: b. Number of clients served by the grantee: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of youth to enter treatment or services referred to: b. Number of youth referred to treatment or service: c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Number and percent of services and treatments successfully completed by youth	 a. Number of treatments/services successfully completed: b. Number of treatments or services in which youth are enrolled: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of treatments/services successfully completed: b. Number of treatments or services in which youth are enrolled: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
ice encies.	Number and percent of staff trained in accountability programming	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
gned to redu connel or ag	Number of hours of training about accountability programming offered	Number of hours of training offered:	Number of hours of training offered:
ams desi	3. Number of accountability programs in operation	Number of <u>accountability programs</u> operating:	Number of <u>accountability programs</u> operating:
oased progr aw enforcer	Number and percent of justice agencies providing accountability programming	 a. Number of agencies with an operational accountability program: b. Number of justice agencies: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of agencies with an operational accountability program: b. Number of justice agencies: c. Percent (a/b):
untability-k ferred by I	5. Number of accountability program slots	Number of <u>accountability program</u> slots:	Number of <u>accountability program</u> slots:
11. Establishing and maintaining accountability-based programs designed to reduce recidivism among juveniles who are referred by law enforcement personnel or agencies.	6. Number of <u>supervision</u> meetings per youth per month	Average number of <u>supervisions</u> meetings per youth per month:	Average number of <u>supervisions</u> meetings per youth per month:
	7. Time in days from offender intake into the accountability program to receipt of a sanctions schedule	Average number of calendar days from enrollment to receipt of a sanctions schedule:	Average number of calendar days from enrollment to receipt of a sanctions schedule:
11. Establishi recidivism am	8. Number and percent of youth with a <u>behavioral</u> <u>contract</u> developed at their <u>intake</u> into the <u>accountability program</u>	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> at <u>intake</u>: b. Number of youth to enter the program: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> at <u>intake</u>: b. Number of youth to enter the program: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	9. Time in hours from infraction to sanction 10. Number and percent of sanctions that are successfully contested by youth or their families	Average number of hours from infraction to sanctions: a. Number of sanctions successfully contested: b. Number of sanctions imposed: c. Percent (a/b):	Average number of hours from infraction to sanctions: a. Number of sanctions successfully contested: b. Number of sanctions imposed: c. Percent (a/b):
	11. Number and percent of youth referrals across departments, organizations, agencies or units	a. Number of cross-agency client referrals:b. Number of client referrals:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of cross-agency client referrals:b. Number of client referrals:c. Percent (a/b):
	12. Number and percent of eligible youth to enter an accountability program	a. Number of youth in <u>accountability programs</u>:b. Number of youth processed by grantee:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth in <u>accountability programs</u>:b. Number of youth processed by grantee:c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Number and percent of youth to receive aftercare services	 a. Number of youth to receive aftercare: b. Number of youth to participate in an accountability program: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to receive aftercare: b. Number of youth to participate in an accountability program: c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Average percent of days youth received treatment/services	 a. Average number of days youth receive a service: b. Average number of days youth are enrolled in accountability programming: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Average number of days youth receive a service: b. Average number of days youth are enrolled in accountability programming: c. Percent (a/b):
	15. Number and percent of youth assigned to alternatives to detention	 a. Number of youth assigned to <u>alternatives to detention</u>: b. Number of youth to receive <u>detention</u>: c. Percent (a/(a+b)): 	 a. Number of youth assigned to <u>alternatives to</u> <u>detention</u>: b. Number of youth to receive <u>detention</u>: c. Percent (a/(a + b)):
	Number of days of program participation per youth	Average number of days youth are enrolled in the program:	Average number of days youth are enrolled in the program:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	17. Number and percent of youth to complete their accountability program successfully	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete accountability programming: b. Number of youth to enter accountability programming: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete accountability programming: b. Number of youth to enter accountability programming: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period ☐Mar 31 ☐Sep 30 ☐Jun 30 ☐Dec 31
eds ng mental reatment	Number and percent of intake units using valid and reliable risk assessments	 a. Number of units that use a validated risk assessment tool: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of units that use a validated risk assessment tool: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b):
conduct risk and <u>needs</u> te the effective early ive services, including mental e abuse testing and treatment	 Number and percent of intake units using valid and reliable needs assessments 	 a. Number of units that use a validated <u>needs</u> <u>assessment</u> tool: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of units that use a validated <u>needs</u> <u>assessment</u> tool: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b):
o conduct ate the ef sive servi e abuse t	3. Number of sources used in <u>assessment</u> process	Average number of data sources used per youth assessment:	Average number of data sources used per youth <u>assessment</u> :
programs to s that facilita comprehensi nd substance	Number and percent of <u>assessment</u> staff with specialized training	a. Number of assessors with specialized training:b. Number of assessors:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of assessors with specialized training:b. Number of assessors:c. Percent (a/b):
and maintaining juvenile offender the provision of and treatment a	5. Number and percent of youth fully <u>assessed</u> using <u>risk and needs</u> assessments	a. Number of youth with complete files:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth with complete files:b. Number of youth:c. Percent (a/b):
12. Establishing and maintaining programs to conduct risk and needs assessments of juvenile offenders that facilitate the effective early intervention and the provision of comprehensive services, including mental health screening and treatment and substance abuse testing and treatment to such offenders.	6. Of the total number of youth identified as needing substance abuse treatment, the percent identified through the screening/assessment process	 a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u>: b. Number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u>: b. Number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u>: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	7. Of the total number of youth identified as needing mental health services, the percent identified through the screening/assessment process	 a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing mental health treatment: b. Number of youth identified as needing mental health treatment: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing mental health treatment: b. Number of youth identified as needing mental health treatment: c. Percent (a/b):
	8. Average time in hours from first justice contact for current offense to youth screening	Average number of hours from first justice contact to screening completion:	Average number of hours from first justice contact to screening completion:
	 Average time in hours from <u>screening</u> to <u>assessment</u> 	Average number of hours from <u>screening</u> completion to <u>assessment</u> completion:	Average number of hours from <u>screening</u> completion to <u>assessment</u> completion:
	 Average time in days from <u>assessment</u> to first service receipt 	Average number of days from <u>assessment</u> completion to first service:	Average number of days from <u>assessment</u> completion to first service:
	11. Number and percent of referrals to primary prevention services	a. Number of referrals for a primary prevention service:b. Number of referrals total:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of referrals for a primary prevention service:b. Number of referrals total:c. Percent (a/b):
	12. Number and percent of referrals to <u>secondary</u> <u>prevention services</u>	 a. Number of referrals for a secondary prevention service: b. Number of referrals: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of referrals for a secondary prevention service:b. Number of referrals:c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Number of different service referrals per youth	Average number of referrals per youth:	Average number of referrals per youth:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	14. Number and percent of times services identified through youth assessment are actually received by the assessed youth	a. Number of times youth receive referred service:b. Number of services youth were assessed as needing:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of times youth receive referred service:b. Number of services youth were assessed as needing:c. Percent (a/b):
	 15. Number and percent of cases assigned to alternatives to detention 16. Number and percent of youth who cannot receive identified services (e.g., slots full, 	 a. Number of cases assigned to an alternative to detention: b. Number of cases assigned to detention: c. Percent (a/(a + b): a. Number of youth that do not receive services they are assessed as needing: b. Number of youth assessed as needing service: 	 a. Number of cases assigned to an alternative to detention: b. Number of cases assigned to detention: c. Percent (a/(a + b): a. Number of youth that do not receive services they are assessed as needing: b. Number of youth assessed as needing service:
	service not provided locally)	c. Percent (a/b):	c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
signed to	Percent of time per week spent on accountability programming	 a. Average number of hours per week staff spend on accountability programming: b. Average number of hours per week that staff work: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Average number of hours per week staff spend on accountability programming: b. Average number of hours per week that staff work: c. Percent (a/b):
rams that are d	Number and percent of school staff trained to implement accountability programming	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):
Establishing and maintaining accountability-based programs that are designed to ance school safety.	3. Number of <u>graduated</u> <u>sanctions</u> options per level (immediate, intermediate, <u>secure</u> <u>care</u> , aftercare)	 a. Number of immediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: b. Number of intermediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: c. Number of <u>secure care sanctioning options</u>: d. Number of aftercare/reentry <u>sanctioning options</u>: 	 a. Number of immediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: b. Number of intermediate <u>sanctioning options</u>: c. Number of <u>secure care sanctioning options</u>: d. Number of aftercare/reentry <u>sanctioning options</u>:
	Number of accountability programs operating	Number of different <u>accountability programs</u> operating:	Number of different <u>accountability programs</u> operating:
	5. Number of <u>graduated</u> <u>sanctions</u> slots per level (immediate, intermediate, <u>secure</u> <u>care</u> , aftercare)	 a. Number of immediate sanction slots: b. Number of intermediate sanction slots: c. Number of secure care sanction slots: d. Number of aftercare/reentry sanction slots: 	 a. Number of immediate sanction slots: b. Number of intermediate sanction slots: c. Number of secure care sanction slots: d. Number of aftercare/reentry sanction slots:
13. Establishing and m enhance school safety	Number and percent of staff participating in accountability programs	 a. Number of staff participating in <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u>: b. Number of staff: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of staff participating in <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u> : b. Number of staff: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	7. Number of school- community partnerships	Number of community <u>partner agencies</u> :	Number of community <u>partner agencies</u> :
	Number of school- justice partnerships	Number of justice <u>partner agencies</u> :	Number of justice <u>partner agencies</u> :
	Number of different school safety programming options in place	Number of different school safety programs in operation:	Number of different school safety programs in operation:
	Number and percent of youth to receive a sanctions schedule at school orientation	a. Number of youth to receive a sanctions schedule at orientation:b. Number of youth served/enrolled:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to receive a sanctions schedule at orientation:b. Number of youth served/enrolled:c. Percent (a/b):
	11. Time in hours from infraction to sanction	Average number of hours from infraction to sanction:	Average number of hours from infraction to sanction:
	12. Number and percent of misconduct events handled using accountability sanctions/guidelines	 a. Number of <u>infractions</u> to result in prescribed sanction: b. Number of <u>infractions</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of <u>infractions</u> to result in prescribed sanction: b. Number of <u>infractions</u>: c. Percent (a/b):
	13. Number of target youth referred to the justice system	 a. Number of youth referred from the school to the justice system: b. Number of youth participants in accountability programs: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth referred from the school to the justice system: b. Number of youth participants in <u>accountability programs</u>: c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Number of formal incident reports	Number of formal incident reports:	Number of formal incident reports:
	15. Number of crimes reported to the police	Number of crimes reported to police:	Number of crimes reported to police:
	16. Number and percent of teachers threatened at school	a. Number of teachers threatened:b. Number of teachers:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of teachers threatened:b. Number of teachers:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	17. Number of weapons seized	Number of weapons seized:	Number of weapons seized:
	18. Average number of hours youth spend out of learning activities	a. Average number of hours spent out of class per week:b. Number of hours of possible class time:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Average number of hours spent out of class per week:b. Number of hours of possible class time:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
Establishing and maintaining <u>restorative justice programs</u> .	1. Number of different restorative justice programs implemented 2. Number and percent youth to participate in any of the following events: victim offender mediation/dialogue; family group conferencing; peacemaking circles; restitution; personal services to victims; community services; apologies; victim/community impact panels; community/neighborho od impact statements; victim empathy groups/classes	Number of different restorative justice programs in operation: a. Number of youth to participate in any of the listed events: b. Number of youth served by grantee: c. Percent (a/b):	Number of different restorative justice programs in operation: a. Number of youth to participate in any of the listed events: b. Number of youth served by grantee: c. Percent (a/b):
stablishir	Amount of funds allocated to <u>restorative</u> justice programming	Number of dollars spent on <u>restorative justice</u> <u>programming</u> :	Number of dollars spent on <u>restorative justice</u> <u>programming</u> :
14. E	Number of <u>restorative</u> <u>justice</u> program slots	Number of <u>restorative justice</u> slots:	Number of <u>restorative justice</u> slots:

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	5. Number of hours of restorative justice training offered to justice staff by type (orientation, continuing education, cross training with community-based organizations)	a. Number of hours of orientation training offered:b. Number of hours of continuing education trainings offered:c. Number of hours of cross trainings offered:	 a. Number of hours of orientation training offered: b. Number of hours of continuing education trainings offered: c. Number of hours of cross trainings offered:
	Number of hours of community outreach about restorative justice programming	Number of hours of community outreach about restorative justice programming:	Number of hours of community outreach about restorative justice programming:
	7. Number and percent of times restorative justice is part of case disposition of juvenile offenders	 a. Number of <u>case dispositions</u> that include restorative justice: b. Number of <u>case dispositions</u>: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of <u>case dispositions</u> that include restorative justice: b. Number of <u>case dispositions</u>: c. Percent (a/b):
	8. Number and percent of target youth to receive restorative justice programming	a. Number of youth to participate in restorative justice:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to participate in restorative justice:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):
	Number of different restorative justice sanctioning options available	Number of different <u>restorative justice</u> sanctions options available:	Number of <u>restorative justice</u> sanctions options available:
	Number and percent of offenses for which restorative justice is an option	 a. Number of offenses for which <u>restorative justice</u> is an option: b. Number of offenses on the books: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of offenses for which <u>restorative justice</u> is an option: b. Number of offenses on the books: c. Percent (a/b):
	Number and percent of crime victims to participate in restorative justice programming	 a. Number of crime victims to participate in restorative justice: b. Number of crime victims: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of crime victims to participate in restorative justice: b. Number of crime victims: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	12. Average time in hours from crime report to first contact between victim and victim advocate	Average number of hours from crime to first contact with a victim advocate):	Average number of hours from crime to first contact with a victim advocate):
	13. Average time in hours spent by victim advocates with victims	Average number of hours victims advocates spend with each victim:	Average number of hours victims advocates spend with each victim:
	14. Average number of contacts between victim and victim advocate	Average number of times victim advocates contact victims:	Average number of times victim advocates contact victims:
	15. Number and percent of cases in which victims had input into the offender's disposition	a. Number of cases in which victims had input into offender disposition:b. Number of cases processed:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of cases in which victims had input into offender disposition:b. Number of cases processed:c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Number and percent of cases in which community members had input into the offender's sentence	a. Number of cases in which community members had input into the offender disposition:b. Number of cases processed:c. Percent (a/b):	 a. Number of cases in which community members had input into the offender disposition: b. Number of cases processed: c. Percent (a/b):
	17. Number and percent of offenders to pay monetary restitution	 a. Number of offenders ordered to pay <u>restitution</u>: b. Number of offenders that pay <u>restitution</u>: c. Number of offenders processed: d. Percent ordered (a/c): e. Percent to comply (a/b): 	 a. Number of offenders ordered to pay <u>restitution</u>: b. Number of offenders that pay <u>restitution</u>: c. Number of offenders processed: d. Percent ordered (a/c): e. Percent to comply (a/b):
	18. Number and percent of offenders to receive skills building training	 a. Number of offenders to receive skills- building training: b. Number of offenders handles: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of offenders to receive skills- building training: b. Number of offenders handles: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	19. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements: b. Number of youth to have restorative justice requirements: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements: b. Number of youth to have restorative justice requirements: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
enile ders	Number of accountability programs in operat	Number of different <u>accountability programs</u> in operation:	Number of different <u>accountability programs</u> in operation:
ts and ju	Number of types of accountability programs	Number of types of <u>accountability programs</u> in operation:	Number of types of <u>accountability programs</u> in operation:
uvenile cour holding juve	Amount of funds allocated to accountability programming	Number of dollars spent on <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u> :	Number of dollars spent on <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u> :
15. Establishing and maintaining programs to enable juvenile courts and juvenile probation officers to be more effective and efficient in holding juvenile offenders accountable and reducing juvenile recidivism.	Number of court/probation units with accountability programs in place	 a. Number of units with accountability programming in operation: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of units with <u>accountability programming</u> in operation: b. Number of units: c. Percent (a/b):
	Number of accountability progra slots	Number of accountability slots:	Number of accountability slots:
	6. Number and percen cases for which accountability option are used as part of to court/probation process.	accountability programming: b. Number of <u>case dispositions</u> : c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of <u>case dispositions</u> that include <u>accountability programming</u> : b. Number of <u>case dispositions</u> : c. Percent (a/b):
15. Establishin probation offi accountable a	7. Number and percen cases for which the judge has complete youth case files prio sentencing	complete <u>assessment</u> data prior to sentencing:	 a. Number of cases for which judges have a complete <u>assessment</u> data prior to sentencing: b. Number of cases sentenced: c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	8. Number and percent of youth that participate in accountability programming thought the court or probation system	 a. Number of youth to participate in <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u>: b. Number of youth processed: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to participate in <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u>: b. Number of youth processed: c. Percent (a/b):
	9. Number of different accountability sanctioning options available 9. Number of different accounts diffe	Number of different sanctions available to youth:	Number of different sanctions available to youth:
	10. Number of juvenile justice offenses for which accountability programs are an option	 a. Number of offenses for which <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u> is an option: b. Number of offenses on the books: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of offenses for which <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u> is an option: b. Number of offenses on the books: c. Percent (a/b):
	11. Average number of youth per probation officer	a. Number of open cases:b. Number of <u>probation officers</u>:c. Average number per officer (a/b):	a. Number of open cases:b. Number of <u>probation officers</u>:c. Average number per officer (a/b):
	12. Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month	 a. Number of <u>supervision meetings</u> in preceding month: b. Number of youth served in preceding month: c. Average number of meetings (a/b): 	 d. Number of <u>supervision meetings</u> in preceding month: e. Number of youth served in preceding month: f. Average number of meetings (a/b):
	13. Number and percent of non-compliance events (e.g., missed court dates, positive drug tests)	a. Number of non-compliance events:b. Number of youth requirements:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of non-compliance events:b. Number of youth requirements:c. Percent (a/b):
	14. Number and percent of probation contacts that are proactive	a. Number of proactive probation contacts:b. Number of probation contacts:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of proactive probation contacts:b. Number of probation contacts:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	15. Number and percent of youth to have a behavioral contract developed at intake	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> at intake: b. Number of youth to go through intake: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth with a <u>behavioral contract</u> at intake: b. Number of youth to go through intake: c. Percent (a/b):
	16. Average time in hours from infraction to sanction	Average number of hours from infraction to sanction:	Average number of hours from infraction to sanction:
	17. Number and percent of modifications that resulted in more restrictive release conditions	a. Number of times modification were for more strict sanctions:b. Number of modifications to release conditions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of times modification were for more strict sanctions:b. Number of modifications to release conditions:c. Percent (a/b):
	18. Number and percent of youth to have revocation hearings	 a. Number of youth to have <u>revocation hearings</u>: b. Number of youth in the program: c. Percent (a/b): 	 a. Number of youth to have <u>revocation hearings</u>: b. Number of youth in the program: c. Percent (a/b):
	19. Number and percent of youth to complete their justice requirements successfully	a. Number of youth to successfully complete program requirements:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of youth to successfully complete program requirements:b. Number of youth served:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period ☐ Jan 1 ☐ Jul 1 ☐ Apr 1 ☐ Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
Ď.	Number and percent of staff hired	a. Number of staff hired:b. Number of staff positions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff hired:b. Number of staff positions:c. Percent (a/b):
ing traini	Number and percent of vacant positions	a. Number of vacant positions:b. Number of positions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of vacant positions:b. Number of positions:c. Percent (a/b):
nd maintain gramming.	Average number of youth and staff	 Number of youth: Number of staff: Average (a/b): 	a. Number of youth:b. Number of staff:c. Average (a/b):
tablishing ar	Number of percent of programs with vacant staff positions	a. Number of programs with vacant staff positions:b. Number of programs:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of programs with vacant staff positions:b. Number of programs:c. Percent (a/b):
g <u>detention</u> and corrections personnel, and establishing and maintaining training s for such personnel to improve facility practices and programming.	5. Number and percent of staff trained in improving facility practices and programming	a. Number of staff trained:b. Number of staff:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of staff trained: b. Number of staff: c. Percent (a/b):
	Number of hours of training offered in improving facility practices and/or programming	Number of hours of training offered:	Number of hours of training offered:
	7. Staff time spent on security	a. Average number of hours per week staff spend on security:b. Average number of hours staff work per week:c. Percent (a/b):	 a. Average number of hours per week staff spend on security: b. Average number of hours staff work per week: c. Percent (a/b):
16. Hiring <u>det</u> programs for	Number and percent of staff to rate the training received as helpful	 a. Number of staff to rate training as helpful: b. Number of staff trained: c. Percent (a/b): 	a. Number of staff to rate training as helpful:b. Number of staff trained:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure 9. Number and percent of staff trained who take additional courses on improving facility	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31 a. Number of staff to take additional training: b. Number of staff trained: c. Percent (a/b):
	practices and programming 10. Number and percent of sick days taken 11. Number and percent of days employees are late to work 12. Number and percent of staff rated as improved by supervisors 13. Number and percent of	 a. Number of sick days taken: b. Number of possible work days: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of late arrival days: b. Number of possible work days: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of staff improved: b. Number of staff evaluated: Percent (a/b): a. Number of staff to leave program: 	 a. Number of sick days taken: b. Number of possible work days: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of late arrival days: b. Number of possible work days: c. Percent (a/b): a. Number of staff improved: b. Number of staff evaluated:
	staff to leave the office/unit 14. Number of hours that youth are held in isolation 15. Number and percent of youth held in isolation 16. Average time in hours	 b. Number of staff in program: c. Percent (a/b): Number of days youth are held in isolation: a. Number of youth held in isolation: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/b): Average number of hours from infraction to sanction: 	 b. Number of staff in program: c. Percent (a/b): Number of days youth are held in isolation: a. Number of youth held in isolation: b. Number of youth served: c. Percent (a/b): Average number of hours from infraction to sanction:
	from infraction to sanction 17. Number and percent of available accountability programming options used	a. Number of accountability options used:b. Number of accountability options available:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of accountability options used:b. Number of accountability options available:c. Percent (a/b):

JABG Purpose Area	Performance Measure	At the start of the reporting period Jan 1 Jul 1 Apr 1 Oct 1	At the end of the reporting period Mar 31 Sep 30 Jun 30 Dec 31
	18. Number and percent of sanction changes that were from a less restrictive to a more restrictive sanction	a. Number of medications of sanctions to more strict:b. Number of modifications to sanctions:c. Percent (a/b):	a. Number of medications of sanctions to more strict:b. Number of modifications to sanctions:c. Percent (a/b):